

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
WESTERN DIVISION
Case No. 5:14-cv-00369-BO

FELICITY M. TODD VEASEY and)
SECOND AMENDMENT)
FOUNDATION, INC.,)
))
Plaintiffs,)

v.)

BRINDELL B. WILKINS, JR., in his)
official Capacity as Sheriff of Granville)
County, North Carolina, PAT McCRORY,)
in his Official Capacity as Governor of)
North Carolina, ROY COOPER, in his)
Official Capacity as Attorney General of)
North Carolina, and FRANK L. PERRY, in)
his Official Capacity as Secretary of the)
North Carolina Department of Public)
Safety,)

DECLARATION OF ALAN GURA IN
SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES

I, Alan Gura, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, declare as follows:

1. I am over the age of 18 and am competent to make this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the statements contained in this Declaration.
2. I am a partner with the law firm of Gura & Possessky, P.L.L.C. in Alexandria, Virginia. I graduated from Cornell University in 1992 and the Georgetown University Law Center in 1995. After completing law school, I served as a law clerk to Judge Terrence W. Boyle, United States District Court, Eastern District of North Carolina. Prior to founding Gura & Possessky, I served as a Deputy Attorney General for the State of California, as counsel to the United States Senate Judiciary Committee, and as a litigation associate for the Washington offices of Sidley Austin. I was first admitted to practice law in California in

1995. I am also an active member in good standing of the District of Columbia Bar and the Virginia State Bar, as well as numerous federal bars.

3. My practice is national in scope and focuses primarily on constitutional law, with an emphasis on representing individuals seeking to enforce the government's compliance with constitutional requirements.

4. I served as lead counsel in *District of Columbia v. Heller*, 554 U.S. 570 (2008) in which my clients successfully challenged Washington, D.C.'s handgun ban before the United States Supreme Court. I returned to the high court two years later to successfully argue in *McDonald v. City of Chicago*, 561 U.S. 742 (2010) that the Second Amendment binds not only the federal government, but state and local government officials as well.

Decisions in Second Amendment cases I have litigated also include *Moore v. Madigan*, 702 F.3d 933 (7th Cir. 2012); *Ezell v. City of Chicago*, 651 F.3d 684 (7th Cir. 2011); *NRA v. City of Chicago* ("McDonald II"), 646 F.3d 992 (7th Cir. 2011); *Dearth v. Holder*, 641 F.3d 499 (D.C. Cir. 2011); *Parker v. District of Columbia*, 478 F.3d 370 (D.C. Cir. 2007); *Wrenn v. District of Columbia*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 71383 (D.D.C. May 18, 2015); *Mance v. Holder*, 74 F. Supp. 3d 795 (N.D. Tex. 2015); *Suarez v. Holder*, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 19378 (M.D. Pa. Feb. 18, 2015); *Ezell v. City of Chicago*, 70 F. Supp. 3d 871 (N.D. Ill. 2014); *Binderup v. Holder*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 135110 (E.D. Pa. Sept. 25, 2014); *Palmer v. District of Columbia*, 59 F. Supp. 3d 173 (D.D.C. 2014); and *Bateman v. Perdue*, 881 F. Supp. 2d 709 (E.D.N.C. 2012).

5. Based upon my experience and knowledge, I am familiar with the effort required for litigating a case in which a statute is challenged as unconstitutional pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. I am familiar with the amount of time that can be required to litigate such a matter, especially when a party challenges a statute on Equal Protection and Second Amendment

grounds. I am also familiar with the prevailing billing rates sought by and awarded to various attorneys in Second Amendment cases.

6. I have reviewed the Declaration of Camden R. Webb setting forth the hourly billing rates for the lawyers representing the Plaintiff in this matter and the time spent by members of their law firm.

7. In my opinion, the hourly rates charged by the attorneys who rendered legal services for the Plaintiff were, at the time rendered and today, within the range of prevailing market billing rates that have been charged and that are being charged by lawyers with the skill and experience of Plaintiff's counsel in Section 1983 cases challenging a statute on Equal Protection and Second Amendment grounds. It is also my opinion that the time spent on the case is reasonable and reflects good billing judgment.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct

Executed on this the 27th day of August, 2015.



Alan Gura

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 28, 2015, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

James C. Wrenn, Jr. – jcw@hopperhickswrenn.com

Andrew H. Erteschik – aerteschik@poynerspruill.com

David G. Sigale – dsigale@sigalelaw.com

Hal F. Askins – haskins@ncdoj.gov

J. Joy Strickland – jstrickland@ncdoj.gov

WILLIAMS MULLEN

BY: /s/ Camden R. Webb

Camden R. Webb

N.C. State Bar No. 22374

crwebb@williamsmullen.com

P. O. Box 1000

Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Telephone: (919) 981-4000

Facsimile: (919) 981-4300

Local Civil Rule 83.1 Counsel for Plaintiffs

LAW FIRM OF DAVID G. SIGALE, P.C.

BY: /s/ David G. Sigale

IL Bar No. 6238103

dsigale@sigalelaw.com

799 Roosevelt Road, Suite 207

Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Telephone: (630) 452-4547

Facsimile: (630) 596-4445

Counsel for Plaintiffs

Admitted Pro Hac Vice